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\section*{A B S T R A C T}

The process of learning involves interaction with the learning environment through our five senses (sight, hearing, touch, smell, and taste). Until recently, distance education focused only on the first two of those senses, sight and sound. Internet-based learning environments are predominantly visual with auditory components. With the advent of haptic technology we can now simulate/generate forces and, as a result, the sense of touch. The gaming industry has promoted the “touch” on the “wire,” allowing complex multimodal interactions online. In this article we provide a brief overview of the evolution of haptic technology, its potential for education, and existing challenges. We review recent data on 21st century students’ behaviors, and share our experiences in designing interactive haptic environments for education. From the “Community of Inquiry” framework perspective, we discuss the potential impact of haptic feedback on cognitive and social presence.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

As defined in the Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework, social presence is the ability of learners to project their personal characteristics into an online learning community, thereby presenting themselves as real people, and forming meaningful connections with others to enhance collaborative learning experiences. The CoI model also explains cognitive presence as the extent to which learners are able to construct and confirm meaning through reflection and discourse in a four-stage process. This starts with a triggering event, then moves through exploration and integration, and culminates with the achievement of resolution (Garrison, Anderson, & Archer, 2001).

From a distance learning environment perspective, haptic applications have the potential to significantly impact both types of presence discussed. There are two approaches for deployment of such applications:

- \textit{Local deployment.} The required simulation components are downloaded and executed locally. Such an approach, while shielded from network impairment, does not provide a direct interaction with the instructor.
- \textit{Remote deployment.} The student and the instructor may haptically interact with each other. The sense of social presence is quantifiable in such cases, and is dependent on the network performance.

In what follows, we provide an overview of current technologies for the development of multimodal virtual environments, and a few related research efforts. We also discuss a case study that demonstrates the potential of haptic technology to affect the way students connect with each other and engage in the exploration of content.

\section*{2. Multimodal virtual environments as learning tools}

A Multimodal Virtual Environment (MVE) provides multiple modalities to convey information. In the past, the combination of audio and visual modalities made possible the production of illustrative explanations of various concepts, thus breaking the barriers of verbal communication.

Haptic, derived from the Greek \textit{haphe}, means pertaining to the sense of touch. Another derivation is from the verb \textit{haptesthai}, meaning to touch. Haptic technology is employed in the interface as input/output stimuli between the user and the computer. Communication is enabled via applied tactile and force feedback, or vibrations and motions. The output stimuli can simulate the sensation of touching a virtual object. MVEs with visual, auditory, and, additionally, haptic stimuli can convey information more efficiently than with the use of a single sense, since the user manipulates and experiences the environment through multiple sensory channels. Each modality allows information exchange between the instructor and the student, establishing an experientially rich communication channel. Each communication channel, taken individually, has a specific communication bandwidth, dependent on the student’s capacity to use it. The bandwidth of the combination of these channels may be larger than their sum.

Kinesthetic learners, who make up about 15% of the population, struggle to fully grasp concepts by just reading or listening (Coffield, Moseley, Hall, & Ecclestone, 2004). Without the haptic channel, the quality and amount of information conveyed through an interface is reduced, resulting in a narrower communication bandwidth and less efficiency during the learning process. This reduction negatively affects the cognitive results obtained through distance education and can be seen as a barrier in adopting it on the same reliability basis as face-to-face education. As such, haptics may provide a medium for learning by
by the user. These impairments decrease the efficiency of multimodal distributed applications and may cause damage to the haptic device and to the end user.

To date, the network has not been seriously considered in the design of haptic compensation algorithms. However, the introduction of graded QoS architectures, such as Diffserv (Babiarz, Chan, & Baker, 2006), into the next generation Internet now offers the capability to limit the effects of packet delay, jitter, and loss.

5. Design of efficient haptic simulations: case studies

Network impairments are an inherent negative characteristic of an interactive collaborative learning environment (Gutwin et al., 2004). Due to such impairments, the integration of haptic interfaces with visual and auditory cues becomes even more challenging. Solutions were proposed to cope with delays for sensory modalities (visual and auditory) from a technical perspective. Additionally, from a perceptual perspective we must take into consideration the behavioral and neurological patterns specific to its human users. An efficient learning environment must provide an excellent perceptual integration (Stanney et al., 2004), which is not only task-dependent, but possibly more difficult to attain than the technical integration. Discovering and defining simulators and training tools that would benefit from the haptic feedback is also difficult. One must identify the concepts that lend themselves best to such simulation, then design the learning experience and provide viable implementation alternatives. While the technical integration of haptic sensation is important, so are the evaluation of technology acceptance, and the measurement of the technology’s impact on learning.

5.1. HaptEK16 — Pascal’s principle and hydraulics

Haptic Environments for K-16 (HaptEK16) is a novel visuo-haptic simulation for teaching physics concepts with an emphasis on hydraulics (e.g., Pascal’s principle). We have designed and implemented three activities to guide students from the simple concept of pressure (depending on two parameters: force and area) to the more complex system of a hydraulic car lift. The students can work through the activities at their own pace, interactively altering relevant parameters as they choose. Each activity is augmented in various ways by the haptic system, so that the students can apply and feel the forces in the experiments.

Force feedback is conveyed through a SensAble PHANTOM® Omni™ device. The system was developed using the Extensible 3D (X3D) modeling language (web3d.org) and the SenseGraphics™ H3D API. In the simulation, a student interacts with a 3D environment containing a set of interconnected pistons/pipes. A haptic stylus that is manipulated by the user to exert forces on the pistons (Fig. 1) facilitates the interaction.

In the simulated experiment the relationship between force, surface, and pressure is explored. The student can change the surface and/or the amount of force applied and can feel as well as see the effects of these changes. The haptic component allows quantification of the forces and facilitates the student’s understanding of the relationship among force, surface, and pressure while also demonstrating the incompressibility of liquids. The application had a strong impact on student engagement.

The assessment of the project was implemented in a classroom environment at a Richmond Hill high school in Southeast Georgia in 2007 and 2008. The results showed an increase in student’s learning ability, as reflected in a set of pre- and post-tests (Hamza-Lup & Adams, 2008). Test scores (Fig. 2) indicated that the students who received complementary instruction using the HaptEK16 simulator had better test scores and a higher level of engagement than the students with no haptic experience. A survey, administered at the same time with the tests, revealed that 94% of the students associated the simulator with a 3D game and expressed a strong interest in
playing with similar simulations for learning other physics concepts. We believe that there is a strong relationship between playing and learning in this case, and cognitive learning can be significantly enhanced through play.

5.2. Haptics for static and dynamic friction simulation

Fueled by the success of the HaptEK16 prototype, we decided to identify other concepts that would benefit from the introduction of the haptic paradigm.

Students learning about friction for the first time are confused both by its mathematical description as an inequality and by its nature as a force which varies based on the application of competing forces. Although a relatively simple experiment with an inclined wooden plane can demonstrate the principle of friction, we discovered several limitations to executing the experiment in a traditional approach:

• **Consistency of the experiment** is limited in the physical case. The inclined plane has a non-uniform surface, which makes the friction coefficient vary in different regions of the plane.
• **Customization of the experiment** is limited to the available materials in the lab; and extreme or interesting cases cannot always be realized. Students are required to fall back to the textbook and 2D illustrations.
• **Control over a continuous (large) range of physical parameters** is adjustable by the user. Such a fine resolution cannot be achieved in a real experiment because of limited human mechanical ability.

Motivated by these limitations we designed and implemented an environment that simulates the force of friction and the associated paradigms. Students use the haptic device to manipulate a cube on an inclined plane and receive force feedback from the device (Fig. 3). Students may apply varying amounts of force and directly receive varying resultant forces from the cube. They can also change the values that affect frictional force, such as the mass of the cube, the coefficients of static and kinetic friction, and the slope of the plane along which the cube moves. In addition to overcoming the limitations of the traditional approach, the visuo-haptic simulation provides several other benefits, such as:

• **Affordability.** Low-cost haptic devices that are connected to the existing computers in the school laboratories.
• **Portability.** The students can preview simulations online as part of a distance education tool, or in preparation for the lab.
• **Easy concept understanding.** Force vectors and their attributes can be visualized as 3D arrows. Such forces cannot be visualized in the traditional approach.

![Fig. 1. Student applies a force on one of the pistons to sense the pressure.](image)

![Fig. 2. Test results (group A — no haptic; group B — with haptic) (Hamza-Lup & Adams, 2008).](image)

![Fig. 3. Users perceive the forces (direction and magnitude) while pushing the cube.](image)
We are currently studying the efficiency of the simulator in a classroom setup. Preliminary results show a significant increase in students’ engagement.

6. Conclusions

Practitioners and researchers have carried out studies to analyze the effect of haptic feedback on collaborative task performance, and to find out how haptic feedback can create a sense of social interaction within a collaborative virtual environment (CVE) (Kortum, 2008; Brewster & Murray-Smith, 2009; Hamz-a-Lup, Lambeth, & LaPlant, 2009). The results suggest that basic haptic feedback increases the sense of social presence within the CVE (Hamz-a-Lup et al., 2009; Laycock & Day, 2003). Utilizing haptics could improve the immersive experience of the user by adding the ability to not just perceive objects—visually and acoustically, as in current VE—but through tactile perception. This complement enables the users to virtually sense what they may be experiencing (Giannopoulos et al., 2008).

This ability should have a significant impact on the exploration dimension of the cognitive presence. Haptics can augment the feeling of presence in CVEs (Van Schaik, Turnbull, Van Wersch, & Drummond, 2004). Without remote deployment and assessment of haptic-based learning tools and environments, we can only assume the level of social presence, as defined by the CoI framework. Based on the results from CVEs, we believe that haptics will enhance the ability of learners to present themselves as real people in a distance learning environment, and to perform interactions with other students using a variety of sensory inputs, apart from text, video and audio. In addition, the ability to engage in richer tactile experiences may enhance the ways in which students can explore content at a distance. As cyberspace gains more and more features that improve the sense of reality, the quality of virtual exchanges of information increases to new levels to meet new demands, while students’ comprehension expands beyond fact-based learning.

It is important to remember that our goal is not the replacement of traditional learning tools that work well. We explore concepts and paradigms for which a visuo-haptic simulation will enable a better understanding among learners in a richer and more diverse environment. We envision such environments augmenting rather than replacing existing teaching methods. Finally, we are strongly convinced that there are a myriad of abstract paradigms that cannot be replicated via traditional experimentation, but would be better illustrated with the visuo-haptic approach.
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